

Parental Income and Financial Attitudes towards Financial Management Behaviour Moderated by Financial Knowledge

Ni Luh Darmasanti Aprilia Ulandari, Rini Anggriani*, Restu Alpiansah,
Stevany Hanalyna Dethan, Susilo Talidobel
Universitas Bumigora, Mataram, Indonesia

Submitted 11th June 2025; Revised 17th June 2025; Accepted 18th June 2025

Published 30th June 2025

Abstract

Financial management behavior can be implemented to attain a satisfactory standard of living. Their financial attitude and parental income influence a person's perspective on saving. Financial knowledge can potentially influence the relationship between parental income and financial attitude toward financial management behavior. This study aims to examine the influence of parental income and financial attitude on financial management behavior, moderated by financial knowledge. The study concentrated on 250 respondents from Generation Z in Mataram City, who were selected through purposive sampling techniques using a questionnaire data collection method. The data analysis is conducted using the Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) method with the assistance of SmartPLS 3.0 software. The findings indicated that parental income and financial attitude positively and significantly affected financial management behavior. However, financial knowledge has failed to mitigate the relationship between parental income and financial management behavior. Financial knowledge was unable to moderate the relationship between financial attitude and financial management behavior. Thus, the findings highlighted the significance of parental income and financial attitude in influencing financial management behavior in Generation Z. Therefore, the findings enhance the comprehension that parental income and financial attitude significantly influence an individual's future financial status.

Keywords: Financial Attitude; Financial Knowledge; Financial Management Behavior; Parental Income.

Copyright © 2025 Authors. Published by Universitas Bumigora
Under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

*Corresponding author. Tel: +6281236566153, E-mail: rinianggriani@universitasbumigora.ac.id
DOI: [10.30812/target.v7i1.5179](https://doi.org/10.30812/target.v7i1.5179)

How to cite:

Ulandari, N. L. D. A., Anggriani, R., Alpiansah, R., Dethan, S. H., & Talidobel, S. (2025). Parental Income and Financial Attitudes towards Financial Management Behaviour Moderated by Financial Knowledge. *Target: Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis*, 7(1), pp. 1-10.

I. Introduction

Indonesia's economic growth in the fourth quarter of 2023 reached 5.03% year-over-year. The primary driver of this growth is the rise in household consumption. Household spending constituted the predominant element of Indonesia's Gross Domestic Product (GDP), which experienced a growth of 4.82% in 2023. A rise in the minimum wage and governmental social support propelled this expansion. Thus, the contribution of each generation was crucial for sustaining economic stability in Indonesia. The survey's findings indicate that the predominant generational makeup in Indonesia comprises the Millennial Generation and Generation Z. The demographic composition of Mataram City is predominantly comprised of Generation Z. Students and recent graduates are classified within Generation Z. Generation Z refers to those born between 1997 and 2012, who have matured in an age characterized by swiftly advancing digital technologies (Nisa & Haryono, 2022). Convenient access to many financial resources led Generation Z to have a diminished inclination toward saving or investing (Suryanto, 2017). A survey by the Financial Health Index revealed that the community's financial stability remained inadequate, with spending surpassing savings or investments (Nusa & Dewi, 2022). Generation Z presently has a limited comprehension of suitable and successful financial management behavior (Herdjiono & Damanik, 2016).

Financial management behavior reflects how individuals manage their finances, from the stage of income to expenditure (Fariska, 2020). One effort that can be made to achieve a good quality of life is to implement wise financial management by prioritizing the fulfillment of needs over desires (Iriansyah et al., 2023). One factor that influenced financial management behavior was parental income (Gahagho et al., 2021; Nuraini et al., 2023; Putri et al., 2023; Sa'adah & Mistin, 2025). Parental income was derived from commercial or entrepreneurial operations conducted to fulfill household necessities (Ramdan and Supriyono 2023). Differences in parental income among individuals were highly associated with a person's financial conduct and attitude (Novita, 2016). Financial attitude refers to an individual's perception of money, which influences their view of it as a source of empowerment and guides their behavior in terms of saving and spending (Rambe, 2020). Several studies indicate that financial attitude influences financial management behavior (Asaff et al., 2019; Besri, 2018; Herdjiono & Damanik, 2016). In addition, sound financial management behavior is significantly influenced by the level of financial knowledge an individual possesses. Financial knowledge serves a critical role as a foundation for developing structured financial planning, deepening the understanding of financial management principles, and enabling informed financial decision-making, including the ability to avoid impulsive spending (Nusa & Dewi, 2022). Several studies show that financial knowledge has a positive effect on financial management behavior (Asaff et al., 2019; Driana & Sitorus, 2022).

Numerous studies have previously examined financial management behavior, including its relationship with parental income and financial attitude. Several findings suggest that parental income influences financial management behavior (Gahagho et al., 2021; Putri et al., 2023). However, other studies contradict this and have found no significant influence of parental income on financial management behavior (Fariska, 2020; Febianti, 2022). Similarly, financial attitudes have been identified as an influential factor in financial management behavior (Asaff et al., 2019; Besri, 2018). Nevertheless, other studies report conflicting findings, indicating no significant relationship between financial attitudes and financial management behavior (Asaff et al., 2019; Nisa & Haryono, 2022). These inconsistencies highlight a research gap and underscore the need for further investigation to develop a more comprehensive understanding of these relationships. In addition, prior studies have not incorporated moderating variables, presenting an opportunity for novelty in the present study.

The primary objective of this study is to conduct an in-depth analysis of the influence of parental income and financial attitude on the financial management behavior of Generation Z, with financial knowledge serving as a moderating variable. This research aims not only to identify the direct relationships among these variables but also to examine the extent to which financial knowledge moderates the effects of parental income and financial attitude on financial management behavior. Therefore, this study offers a significant contribution to the existing literature by enhancing the understanding of the factors that shape the financial behavior of Generation Z, a cohort currently undergoing a transitional phase toward financial independence. The results of this study are expected to serve as a foundation for developing a more effective and contextually relevant financial education strategy tailored to the younger generation.

II. Method

This study employed a quantitative research design with an associative descriptive approach. Data were collected using a purposive sampling technique by distributing questionnaires as the primary data collection instrument. The respondent criteria are those aged 17 and over (Generation Z, born 1997-2007), receiving income from parents, and domiciled in Mataram City. The sample comprised 250 respondents from Generation Z residing in Mataram City. The sample size was determined based on the Lemeshow formula (see Equation (1)).

$$n = \frac{Za^2 \times P \times Q}{d^2} \quad (1)$$

$$n = \frac{1,96^2 \times 0,8 \times (1-0,8)}{0,05^2} = 245,86$$

The explanation for Equation (1) is as follows: (1) n represents the minimum required sample size; (2) Z denotes the standard value from the normal distribution at a 5% significance level, which is 1.96; (3) P indicates the expected prevalence or proportion of events, set at 80% in this study due to the absence of actual data; (4) Q is the complement of P , calculated as $1 - P$; and (5) d refers to the desired level of precision or margin of error, set at 5%.

This study examined two independent variables: parental income and financial attitude. One moderating variable was financial knowledge. The dependent variable analyzed was financial management behavior. Data collected for this study is analyzed using the Partial Least Squares (PLS) approach. The analysis included validity and reliability assessments, hypothesis testing, and moderation analysis to evaluate the effects of the independent variables on financial management behavior and examine the moderating role of financial knowledge.

III. Results and Discussion

1. Respondent Profile

This study was conducted by distributing questionnaires to 250 respondents classified as members of Generation Z in Mataram City. Table 1 presents the gender distribution of respondents, which was analyzed to assess the proportional representation of male and female participants. Additionally, the analysis based on year of birth aims to explore how variations in age may influence the financial behavior of the respondents.

Table 1. Respondent Profile

Respondent Profile		Frequency (People)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	75	30
	Female	175	70
Birth Year	1997-2000	29	12
	2001-2004	170	68
	2005-2007	51	20

Based on Table 1, the majority of respondents were female, comprising 175 individuals (70%) of the total sample, while male respondents accounted for 75 individuals (30%). These results indicate that female participants predominantly completed the questionnaire. In terms of year of birth, 170 respondents (68%) were born between 2001 and 2004, followed by 51 respondents (20%) born between 2005 and 2007, and 29 respondents (12%) born between 1997 and 2000. These findings suggest that the largest proportion of respondents were individuals born between 2001 and 2004.

2. Outer Model Testing

The analysis of the outer model was conducted through validity and reliability testing. In Smart-PLS, the outer model was assessed using three main criteria: convergent validity, discriminant validity,

and reliability.

2.1. Convergent Validity

Convergent validity was assessed to determine how well each indicator reflects its underlying construct or latent variable (Ghozali 2015). An indicator was considered to demonstrate adequate convergent validity and thus high validity if it exhibited an outer loading value greater than 0.70. Additionally, the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) value should exceed 0.50 to meet the validity criteria. The results of the outer loading analysis in this study are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Outer Loading Results

Variable	Indicator	Outer Loading	Explanation
Moderating Effect 1	Z*X1	0.969	Valid
Moderating Effect 2	Z*X2	1.048	Valid
Parental Income (X1)	X1.1	0.799	Valid
	X1.2	0.798	Valid
	X1.3	0.788	Valid
	X1.4	0.796	Valid
	X1.5	0.780	Valid
	X1.6	0.757	Valid
	X1.7	0.764	Valid
	X1.8	0.777	Valid
	X1.9	0.797	Valid
	X1.10	0.779	Valid
	X1.11	0.814	Valid
	X1.12	0.752	Valid
	X1.13	0.784	Valid
	X1.14	0.783	Valid
	X1.15	0.765	Valid
Financial Attitude (X2)	X2.1	0.736	Valid
	X2.2	0.762	Valid
	X2.3	0.739	Valid
	X2.4	0.737	Valid
	X2.5	0.774	Valid
	X2.6	0.744	Valid
	X2.7	0.750	Valid
	X2.8	0.719	Valid
	X2.9	0.721	Valid
	X2.10	0.706	Valid
	X2.11	0.752	Valid
	X2.12	0.746	Valid
	X2.13	0.770	Valid
Financial Knowledge (Z)	Z.1	0.804	Valid
	Z.2	0.781	Valid
	Z.3	0.795	Valid
	Z.4	0.799	Valid
	Z.5	0.815	Valid
	Z.6	0.809	Valid
	Z.7	0.815	Valid
	Z.8	0.834	Valid
	Z.9	0.799	Valid
	Z.10	0.807	Valid

Variable	Indicator	Outer Loading	Explanation
Financial Management Behavior (Y)	Z.11	0.808	Valid
	Z.12	0.844	Valid
	Z.13	0.810	Valid
	Z.14	0.820	Valid
	Z.15	0.825	Valid
	Z.16	0.788	Valid
	Y.1	0.781	Valid
	Y.2	0.746	Valid
	Y.3	0.763	Valid
	Y.4	0.801	Valid
	Y.5	0.715	Valid
	Y.6	0.722	Valid
	Y.7	0.729	Valid
	Y.8	0.740	Valid
	Y.9	0.764	Valid
	Y.10	0.805	Valid
	Y.11	0.785	Valid
	Y.12	0.754	Valid
	Y.13	0.722	Valid
	Y.14	0.725	Valid
	Y.15	0.755	Valid
	Y.16	0.762	Valid
	Y.17	0.734	Valid

Based on [Table 2](#), the indicators for each research variable exhibited factor loading values > 0.70 . These results indicate that the statement items have met the criteria for convergent validity and are suitable for subsequent analysis. Accordingly, all indicators utilized in this study were considered valid. The Average Variance Extracted (AVE) values are presented in [Table 3](#).

Table 3. Average Variance Extracted (AVE) Results

Variable	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
Parental Income (X1)	0.612
Financial Attitude (X2)	0.552
Financial Knowledge (Z)	0.655
Financial Management Behavior (Y)	0.568

Based on [Table 3](#), the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) value for each variable was greater than 0.50. Therefore, it can be concluded that all factors in this study about the impact of parental income and financial attitude on financial management behavior, with financial knowledge serving as a moderating factor, were valid.

2.2. Reliability

This study employed two methods to assess reliability: Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability. Cronbach's Alpha was used to measure the lower bound of a variable's reliability, while Composite Reliability was used to measure the actual reliability value of a variable. It is considered reliable if the Cronbach's Alpha value is > 0.60 ([Sujarweni, 2023](#)). The rule of thumb is that the alpha or Composite Reliability is greater than 0.7 ([Abdillah & Hartono, 2015](#)). [Table 4](#) presents the results of the Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability calculations.

Table 4. Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability Results

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	Explanation
Moderating Effect 1	1.000	1.000	Reliabel
Moderating Effect 2	1.000	1.000	Reliabel
Parental Income (X1)	0.955	0.959	Reliabel
Financial Attitude (X2)	0.932	0.933	Reliabel
Financial Knowledge (Z)	0.965	0.968	Reliabel
Financial Management Behavior (Y)	0.952	0.954	Reliabel

Based on Table 4, the results indicate that Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability for each variable exceed 0.7. Thus, it can be asserted that all variables in this study exhibit reliability.

3. Hypothesis Testing

The decision to accept or reject a hypothesis in this study was based on the significance value of the path coefficient, p-value, and t-statistic. The path coefficient, ranging from 0 to 1, signifies a positive relationship, whereas values between -1 and 0 indicate a negative one. At a significance level of 5%, a relationship between variables is considered statistically significant if the t-statistic exceeds 1.96 and the p-value is less than 0.05. The results of the R-squared calculations are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Hypothesis Test Results

Relationship Between Variables	Path Coefficient	T-Statistic	P-Value	Description
Parental Income (X1) → Financial Management Behavior (Y)	0.269	3.636	0.000	Positive and significant (Hypothesis accepted)
Financial Attitude (X2) → Financial Management Behavior (Y)	0.421	5.231	0.000	Positive and significant (Hypothesis accepted)
Financial Knowledge (Z) → Parental Income (X1) → Financial Management Behavior (Y)	0.043	0.684	0.494	Positive and not significant (Hypothesis rejected)
Financial Knowledge (Z) → Financial Attitude (X2) → Financial Management Behavior (Y)	-0.122	2.109	0.035	Negative and significant (Hypothesis rejected)

The first hypothesis revealed that parental income (X1) has a positive and significant effect on financial management behavior (Y), as indicated by a path coefficient of 0.269 and a p-value of 0.000 ($p < 0.05$). This finding suggests that more stable parental income is associated with better financial management behavior among individuals. Parents with stable financial conditions are generally more capable of ensuring their children's access to education, reducing the risk of school dropout and enhancing financial literacy. Furthermore, higher-income parents are often better positioned to provide financial support, which can foster savings habits and promote responsible financial behavior (Gahagho et al., 2021; Sa'adah & Mistin, 2025).

The second hypothesis indicated that the financial attitude variable (X2) has a positive and significant effect on financial management behavior (Y), with a path coefficient of 0.421 and a p-value of 0.000 ($p < 0.05$). This result implies that individuals with stronger financial attitudes tend to exhibit better financial management behavior. The finding is further supported by questionnaire responses, highlighting Generation Z's perception in Mataram City regarding the importance of financial attitude in cultivating sound financial habits. Therefore, financial attitude is confirmed as a significant factor influencing financial management behavior, consistent with previous research (Pradiningtyas & Lukiastuti, 2019).

The third hypothesis revealed that the effect of parental income (X1) on financial management behavior (Y), when moderated by financial knowledge (Z), was not statistically significant. This is evidenced by a t-statistic of 0.684, lower than the critical value of 1.969, and a p-value of 0.494, which exceeded the 0.05 significance threshold. Although the path coefficient of 0.043 indicates a positive relationship, the moderation effect is weak, contributing only 4.3%. Based on these findings, it can be concluded that financial knowledge does not serve as a moderating variable in the relationship between parental income and financial management behavior among Generation Z in Mataram City. This result aligns with the findings of (Aditya & Azmansyah, 2021), which suggests that while financial knowledge may exhibit a positive association, its impact on financial management behavior is not statistically significant.

The fourth hypothesis examined the influence of financial attitude (X2) on financial management behavior (Y), with financial knowledge (Z) serving as a moderating variable. The analysis yielded a t-statistic of 2.109, which exceeds the critical value of 1.969, and a p-value of 0.035, which falls below the 0.05 significance threshold. Although this interaction is statistically significant, the path coefficient of -0.122 indicates a negative moderating effect. Based on these results, it can be concluded that financial knowledge does not strengthen the relationship between financial attitude and financial management behavior among Generation Z in Mataram City. In fact, the findings suggest that higher levels of financial knowledge may attenuate the influence of financial attitude on financial behavior. These results are consistent with the findings of (Estuti et al. 2021), which indicate that financial knowledge may directly impact financial management but does not serve effectively as a moderating variable.

IV. Conclusion

Based on the analysis presented in the previous section, this study concludes that parental income has a positive and significant effect on financial management behavior. Additionally, financial attitude was found to positively and significantly influence financial management behavior. However, financial knowledge did not significantly moderate the relationship between parental income and financial management behavior, nor did it moderate the relationship between financial attitude and financial management behavior. These findings contribute to a deeper understanding of how income, financial attitude, and financial knowledge influence individuals' financial management capabilities. The results may be a foundation for developing more targeted financial education strategies or programs. Although financial knowledge has generally been considered advantageous, the absence of a moderating effect, especially the negative interaction observed with financial attitude, indicates the necessity for educational strategies to foster a healthy financial attitude. Future research is encouraged to explore the roles of financial attitude and knowledge in different demographic or professional contexts, such as among employees in the private versus public sectors, given the potential for differing financial behavior patterns between these groups.

References

- Abdillah, W., & Hartono, J. (2015). Partial Least Square (*PLS*): Alternatif Structural Equation Modeling (*SEM*) dalam Penelitian Bisnis. Penerbit Andi.
- Aditya, D., & Azmansyah. (2021). Pengaruh Financial Knowledge, Financial Attitude, dan Income terhadap Financial Behavior pada Usaha Mikro kecil dan Menengah di Kecamatan Marpoyan Damai Pekanbaru. *Jurnal Ekonomi Kiat*, 32(2), 116–138. [https://doi.org/10.25299/kiat.2021.vol32\(2\).8564](https://doi.org/10.25299/kiat.2021.vol32(2).8564)
- Asaff, R., Suryati, S., & Rahmayani, R. (2019). Pengaruh *Financial Attitude* dan *Financial Knowledge* terhadap *Financial Management Behavior*. *JEMMA | Journal of Economic, Management and Accounting*, 2(2), 9. <https://doi.org/10.35914/jemma.v2i2.243>
- Besri, A. A. O. (2018, August). *Pengaruh Financial Attitude, Financial Knowledge Dan Locus of Control Terhadap Financial Management Behavior Mahasiswa S-1 Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Islam Indonesia Yogyakarta* [Skripsi]. Universitas Islam Indonesia.
- Driana, T. F., & Sitorus, P. M. (2022). Analisis Pengaruh *Financial Knowledge* terhadap *Financial Management Behavior* dengan *Parental Income* sebagai Variabel *Intervening* (Studi Kasus

- Pada Generasi-Z Di Kota Bandung). *SEIKO : Journal of Management & Business*, 5(2), 504–513. <https://doi.org/10.37531/sejaman.v5i2.2396>
- Estuti, E. P., Rosyada, I., & Faidah, F. (2021). Analisis Pengetahuan Keuangan, Kepribadian dan Sikap Keuangan terhadap Perilaku Manajemen Keuangan. *JURNAL CAPITAL : Kebijakan Ekonomi, Manajemen dan Akuntansi*, 3(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.33747/capital.v3i1.74>
- Fariska, O. B. A. (2020). *Pengaruh Parental Income dan Financial Knowledge, terhadap Financial Management Behavior Mahasiswa yang Dimediasi oleh Locus of Control* [Undergraduate]. STIE Perbanas Surabaya.
- Febianti, B. (2022). *Pengaruh Financial Knowledge, Gaya Hidup dan Parental Income terhadap Financial Management Behavior* [skripsi]. Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia Jakarta.
- Gahagho, Y. D., Rotinsulu, T. O., & Mandei, D. (2021). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan Sikap Keuangan dan Sumber Pendapatan terhadap Perilaku Pengelolaan Keuangan Mahasiswa Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis UNSRAT Dengan Niat sebagai Variabel Intervening. *Jurnal EMBA : Jurnal Riset Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis dan Akuntansi*, 9(1), 543–555. <https://doi.org/10.35794/emba.v9i1.32337>
- Ghozali, I. (2015). *Partial Least Squares Konsep, Teknik dan Aplikasi Menggunakan Program SmartPLS 3.0 (Untuk Penelitian Empiris)*. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Herdjiono, I., & Damanik, L. A. (2016). Pengaruh *Financial Attitude, Financial Knowledge, Parental Income* Terhadap *Financial Management Behavior*. *Jurnal Manajemen Teori dan Terapan/ Journal of Theory and Applied Management*, 9(3). <https://doi.org/10.20473/jmnt.v9i3.3077>
- Iriansyah, P., Astaginy, N., & Titing, A. S. (2023). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan dan *Parental Income* Terhadap Perilaku Keuangan Mahasiswa: Studi Kasus Mahasiswa Manajemen Fakultas Ilmu Sosial dan Ilmu Politik Universitas Sembilanbelas November Kolaka. *Sammajiva: Jurnal Penelitian Bisnis dan Manajemen*, 1(4), 100–111. <https://doi.org/10.47861/sammajiva.v1i4.525>
- Nisa, F. K., & Haryono, N. A. (2022). Pengaruh *Financial Knowledge, Financial Attitude, Financial Self Efficacy, Income, Locus of Control* dan Lifestyle terhadap *Financial Management Behavior* Generasi Z di Kota Surabaya. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, 10(1), 82–97. <https://doi.org/10.26740/jim.v10n1.p82-97>
- Novita, M. T. (2016, April). *Pengaruh Personal Financial Literacy, Financial Attitude terhadap Financial Management Behavior Mahasiswa S1 Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Andalas* [diploma]. Universitas Andalas.
- Nuraini, Y., Indriasari, I., & Meiriyanti, R. (2023). Pengaruh Gaya Hidup, *Locus of Control*, dan Pendapatan terhadap Perilaku Manajemen Keuangan Mahasiswa. *MANABIS: Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis*, 2(4), 249–259. <https://doi.org/10.54259/manabis.v2i4.2319>
- Nusa, S. R. B., & Dewi, A. S. (2022). Pengaruh Financial Knowledge, *Financial Attitude* dan *Parental Income* terhadap *Financial Management Behavior* Mahasiswa Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta. *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen, Ekonomi, & Akuntansi (MEA)*, 6(3), 905–914. <https://doi.org/10.31955/mea.v6i3.2438>
- Pradiningtyas, T. E., & Lukiastruti, F. (2019). Pengaruh Pengetahuan Keuangan dan Sikap Keuangan terhadap *Locus of Control* dan Perilaku Pengelolaan Keuangan Mahasiswa Ekonomi. *Jurnal Minds: Manajemen Ide dan Inspirasi*, 6(1), 96–112. <https://doi.org/10.24252/minds.v6i1.9274>
- Putri, W. D., Fontanella, A., & Handayani, D. (2023). Pengaruh Penggunaan *Financial Technology*, Gaya Hidup dan Pendapatan Orang Tua terhadap Perilaku Keuangan Mahasiswa. *Akuntansi dan Manajemen*, 18(1), 51–72. <https://doi.org/10.30630/jam.v18i1.213>
- Rambe, Y. S. (2020, June). *Pengaruh Financial Attitude, Financial Knowledge dan Parental Income terhadap Financial Management Behavior pada Mahasiswa Fakultas Ekonomi dan*

Ilmu Sosial Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau [Skripsi]. Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau.

- Ramdan, S., & Supriyono, E. (2023). *Self-Control* sebagai Moderasi antara Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan Dan *Parental Income* terhadap Perilaku Keuangan Mahasiswa. *Journal of Business and Halal Industry*, 1(2), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.47134/jbhi.v1i2.105>
- Sa'adah, L., & Mistin, A. I. N. (2025). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan, Gaya Hidup dan Pendapatan Orang Tua terhadap Perilaku Manajemen Keuangan Santri Pondok Pesantren Darul Muttaqin Jombang. *Jurnal Saintifik (Multi Science Journal)*, 23(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.58222/js.v23i1.345>
- Sujarweni, V. W. (2023). *Metodologi Penelitian*. Pustaka Baru Press.
- Suryanto, S. (2017). Pola Perilaku Keuangan Mahasiswa di Perguruan Tinggi. *Jurnal Ilmu Politik dan Komunikasi*, 7(1), 11–20. <https://doi.org/10.34010/jipsi.v7i1.328>

[This page intentionally left blank.]